## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 CURTIS WILKERSON, et al., Case No.: 2:19-cv-01326-APG-NJK 4 **Plaintiffs Order Granting Motion to Strike Motions** in Limine

[ECF Nos. 67-72, 74]

MAIKA ABRIGO,

5 v.

6

7

8

9

11

12

16

17

19

20

21

Defendant

Defendant Maika Abrigo filed numerous motions in limine after sending one email to opposing counsel that went unanswered. Plaintiff La Toya Williams-Wilkerson moves to strike the motions in limine for failing to comply with the meet and confer requirement under the Local Rules. ECF No. 74.

I grant the motion to strike and order the parties to confer before filing new motions. 13|| Although one party cannot obstruct the filing of motions in limine by refusing to confer, sending 14 one email is not sufficient to establish the meet and confer requirement has been met or would be 15 futile. Plaintiffs' counsel has now indicated a willingness to confer in good faith about the motions.

I THEREFORE ORDER that the motion to strike (ECF No. 74) is GRANTED. The 18 motions in limine (ECF Nos. 67-72) are DENIED without prejudice to refile after a proper meet and confer as required under Local Rule 16-3(a).

DATED this 13th day of September, 2021.

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22

23